

The IALEIA Awards Process

How the Process Works and Tips for Nominations



Objectives

- Discuss the Awards Committee and its composition
- Discuss the types of awards available.
- Discuss awards submission requirements and the process to submit a nomination.
- Discuss the scoring and notification process.
- Provide tips and recommendations for successful nominations.



IALEIA Awards Process

- The IALEIA awards process begins with the Awards Committee.
- The Awards Committee is comprised of 12 individuals:
 - 1 Chairperson and
 - 11 committee members



IALEIA Awards Committee

- The current Chairperson is John Smith, who works for the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
- The 11 committee members work for various law enforcement agencies around the world.
- Most committee members are previous award winners.



Awards Committee Composition

- The Awards Committee members are from:
 - Belgium (Europol Cyber Crime Center)
 - Brazil (Federal Police of Brazil)
 - Canada (Royal Canadian Mounted Police)
 - Mexico (Centro Internacional De Intelligencia)
 - New Zealand (New Zealand Police)
 - United Kingdom (Metropolitan Police International Crime Coordination Center and Norfolk Constabulary)
 - United States (Florida Highway Patrol, Henderson Police Department, US Postal Service OIG, and United States Secret Service)



IALEIA Awards

- There are three primary categories of awards.
- The categories are:
 - Category 1, which is for organizations.
 - There are three sub-categories: 1A, 1B, and 1C
 - Local Level (Category 1A)
 - State/Provincial/Regional Level (Category 1B)
 - National/International Level (Category 1C)
 - There is one award available for each sub-category.



IALEIA Awards

- Category 2 is for individuals.
 - There are a total of six individual awards available each year.
- Category 3 is for Executives or Supervisors
 - There is one award available each year



The Awards Process

- The time table is established by the Chairperson and is approved by the IALEIA Board.
- The deadline is always the Friday of the first full 5-day work week in January.
- Announcements are sent out by IALEIA with the submission criteria.



The Awards Process

- Multiple announcements are sent out between November and January.
- Chairperson receives each nomination.



The Awards Process

- Each nomination is reviewed by the Chairperson to ensure it meets submission criteria.
- If submission criteria are not met, the Chairperson contacts the submitter and notifies them of the deficiencies.
 - If deficiencies are corrected, then the nomination will be accepted and sent to the committee for scoring.
 - If deficiencies are not corrected, then the nomination will be eliminated from the process.



Submission Criteria

- Self-nomination is NOT allowed.
- Each nomination must have two separate letters detailing or documenting the evaluated criteria.
- Submissions must have the permission of the agency or company for which the analytical work was done.



Submission Criteria

- Nominators and nominees need not be IALEIA
 members or affiliated with IALEIA at the time of
 submission. However, the nominee must become an
 IALEIA member before the evaluation/scoring process is
 completed.
- Organizations or individuals cannot receive the same award in more than two consecutive years for the same publication (e.g. different issues of the same periodical).



Submission Criteria

- The e-mail address, telephone number, and mailing address must be provided for each nominee and submitter.
- E-mail is the preferred method for the submission of nomination packets.
- The preferred electronic format of the nomination packets is either Adobe PDF or Microsoft Word format.



- Category 1
 - Enhanced effectiveness of the investigative effort.
 - Improvements in the processing of intelligence data.
 - Innovation in integrating intelligence functions into investigative operations.



- Category 2
 - Initiative and perseverance in stimulating interest of analysts, investigators or prosecutors through analysis or crime activity.
 - Sophistication and diligence in using analytical techniques in support of investigations.
 - Innovation in the development of graphical techniques for presentation of complex cases for investigators, attorneys, or court.



- Category 2 (con't)
 - Effectiveness in coordinating the planning of intelligence support analysis with investigative case management.
 - Provision of tactical or strategic coverage to areas not previously served or served inadequately.
 - Significance of the outstanding contribution to law enforcement efforts.



- Category 3
 - Noteworthy support of how the individual applied, organized, or used analysts and intelligence in support of agency objectives.



- The Committee Chair gives the scoring requirements to the committee members.
- He also includes rules for committee members to recuse themselves if they have personal knowledge of a nomination, or a personal relationship with a nominator, or a personal relationship with a nominee or their agencies.



- The Committee Chair sends each nomination, with all supporting reports, charts, and documents to the committee members, along with a scoring matrix.
- The committee members independently evaluate and score each nomination and send their scores to the Committee Chair.



- The Committee Chair receives the scores from each of the committee members and then computes the scores.
- The only time the Committee Chair is involved in the scoring is if there is a tie.
- If there is a tie, the Committee Chair serves as the tie-breaker.



- Only nominations that receive a minimum score of 70% are eligible to receive an award.
- If more than one nomination in Categories 1 and 3 receive a score above 70% then the nomination with the highest scoring percentage will receive the award in its respective category.



Award Notification

- Once the scoring process is completed, the Committee Chair notifies each nominee directly if they will receive an award or not.
- Only after each nominee is notified of their award status does the Committee Chair notify the IALEIA Board of who the winners are.



- Each nomination shall have a least TWO separate letters DETAILING the nomination.
 - Often the writer of the second nomination letter only states that they concur with the other letter.
 - Submitters must include two letters detailing the nomination.



Tips for Nomination Letters

- Write the letters professionally. Check for spelling and grammar mistakes before submitting the nomination.
 - Often nomination letters are convoluted and difficult to follow or understand. They also contain spelling and grammar errors.
- Try to sound enthusiastic for the individual or organization you are nominating.
 - Sadly, this is sometimes not the case.



- Ensure the letters are written and tailored with the nomination in mind.
- Clearly state why a nomination is worthy of an award.
 - A common error is to simply say an analyst works hard and is well liked.
 - The judges need to understand what the nominee did.
- Explain why the work that was performed is commendable.



- Clearly state the impact the work has had or what the benefit of the work has been.
 - Did the work result in significant convictions?
 - Did the work save large amounts of time for officers or other analysts?
 - Did the work result in a reduction of crime?
 - Did the work result in a new insight into crime or analysis?



- Work products are not required for Category 1 & 3 nominations but are helpful for scoring.
- For Category 2 nominations (Individual nominations) include a copy of the analytical products produced by the nominee. This includes charts, reports, or publications.
 - When evaluating nominations it is difficult to score a nomination only by the nomination letters.
 - It's difficult to justify giving one nominee an award when there is nothing to evaluate verses evaluating multiple high-quality work products of another nominee.
 - The committee has never given an award to an individual without a work product to evaluate.



- If the work products contain sensitive or ongoing work, it may be redacted.
 - Everyone on the awards committee works in law enforcement and understands the sensitive nature of your work.
 - Once the awards process is completed, each committee member destroys their copies of each nomination including the work products.



- Ensure that the submitted work products, such as written reports or articles for publication, DO NOT contain spelling or grammar errors.
 - Articles that have been nominated in the past, which were published in bulletins or journals, have had significant grammatical and spelling errors. This reduces the perceived professionalism of the nomination.



Questions

- If you have any questions concerning the awards program and nomination submissions contact the Awards Chairperson, John Smith.
- You can contact him by e-mail at j8705s@lvmpd.com or by phone at 702-828-4577